

**SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - CIVIL PART
BURLINGTON COUNTY**

JOSEPH FABOZZI, Plaintiff, v. JENNIFER OLLAND AND TOWNSHIP OF MOUNT LAUREL, et al., Defendants.	Docket No. BUR-L-2334-25 CIVIL ACTION EXHIBIT COVER SHEET
---	--

EXHIBIT

B

Defendant Jennifer Olland's Responses to Requests for Admission

Joseph Fabozzi, Plaintiff Pro Se

**DEFENDANT JENNIFER OLLAND'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTS
FOR ADMISSIONS (BUR-L-002334-25)**

1. Denied.
2. Defendant cannot truthfully admit or deny this Request because she does not know whether she is the "JO" referenced in the February 15, 2023 letter.
3. Admitted. Defendant was not present and did not personally hear Plaintiff make the statement.
4. Defendant cannot truthfully admit or deny this Request based on personal knowledge. Defendant was not present for the interaction between Terrance Campbell and Plaintiff and learned of the quoted statement only through a video later uploaded by Plaintiff from an OPRA-obtained recording.
5. Defendant admits only that she is [MINOR CHILD]'s mother. Defendant denies the remainder of the Request. Defendant was not present for the alleged statement and does not admit that [MINOR] made the statement attributed to her or that any belief held by [MINOR] was based upon statements made by Defendant.
6. Admitted.
7. Admitted. Neither [MINOR CHILD] nor [MINOR CHILD] made such a report to Defendant prior to the Hillside Elementary School report to DCPD.
8. Denied. Defendant had no communication with [MINOR CHILD]'s classroom aides during the 2021–2022 school year and does not presently recall whether [MINOR] had an aide or an IEP during that period.

9. Objection. This Request is compound and contains multiple factual propositions, contrary to the Court's February 27, 2026 Order requiring Requests for Admission to be served without subparts.
10. Defendant cannot truthfully admit or deny this Request because she was not involved in making the Hillside Elementary School referral and does not know the basis upon which the school made the referral.
11. Denied. Defendant did not know, when she first appeared at the Mount Laurel Police Department on November 3, 2022, that the Burlington County Sheriff had allegedly attempted to serve her on October 25 or October 26, 2022.
12. Denied. Defendant did not know, when she first appeared at the Mount Laurel Police Department on November 3, 2022, that Dana Judge had allegedly attempted to serve her on November 1 or November 2, 2022.
13. Denied. Defendant did not know of any alleged attempted service by Dana Judge on November 2, 2022, including the circumstances described in this Request.
14. Objection. This Request is compound and contains multiple factual propositions, contrary to the Court's February 27, 2026 Order requiring Requests for Admission to be served without subparts. Subject to the objection, Defendant denies that she was aware on November 2, 2022 that Dana Judge was attempting to contact or serve her.
15. Denied. Defendant was not aware of the lawsuit when she initially went to the Mount Laurel Police Department. Defendant first became aware of the lawsuit during the process of filing the harassment complaint.

16. Denied. Defendant was not aware of the lawsuit when she initially appeared at the Mount Laurel Police Department and therefore could not have informed Officer Turino of it at that time.
17. Admitted only that the exhibits reflect emails sent by Plaintiff on November 3, 2022 in which Defendant's email address appears as a recipient or copied recipient and which contain statements concerning alleged avoidance of service. Defendant does not presently recall receiving these emails.
18. Admitted only that the exhibits reflect emails from Plaintiff referencing alleged attempts to serve Defendant and requesting that Defendant contact the Burlington County Sheriff's Office concerning service. Defendant does not presently recall receiving these emails.
19. Objection. This Request is compound and contains multiple factual propositions, contrary to the Court's February 27, 2026 Order requiring Requests for Admission to be served without subparts. Defendant does not presently recall signing the referenced documents.
20. Denied. The transcript reflects that Defendant testified generally that she had not received emails from Plaintiff's email address; Defendant did not specifically testify that she had never received the November 3, 2022 emails referenced in this Request.
21. Defendant cannot truthfully admit or deny this Request because she does not presently recall whether she reviewed the referenced November 3, 2022 email with Prosecutor Matthew Weng prior to her testimony.
22. Objection. This Request is compound and contains multiple factual propositions, contrary to the Court's February 27, 2026 Order requiring Requests for Admission to be served without subparts.

23. Defendant cannot truthfully admit or deny this Request because she does not presently recall whether the specific April 6, 2022 social media post referenced in the Request was provided to Prosecutor Matthew Weng prior to trial.
24. Objection. This Request is compound and contains multiple factual propositions, contrary to the Court's February 27, 2026 Order requiring Requests for Admission to be served without subparts.
25. Objection. This Request is compound and contains multiple factual propositions, contrary to the Court's February 27, 2026 Order requiring Requests for Admission to be served without subparts.

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

Date: August 10, 2026

[SIGNATURE REDACTED]

Jennifer Olland

Defendant, Pro Se