

1 He attempted to reach out and get contact with
2 other people in her life, people like an ex-husband who
3 she might not have the best relationship with. There's
4 no reason for that, as far as vindication goes, other
5 than to bother her, to annoy her, to engage in a course
6 of conduct where he bothered her to the point that he
7 felt some sort of personal satisfaction from affecting
8 her life. None of this involves vindication.

9 And, perhaps, the greatest piece of evidence
10 that none of this had anything to do with vindication is
11 that Mr. Fabozzi has a piece of paper that says these
12 allegations are unfounded, and in none of these posts did
13 he say, hey, look, the organization, the State
14 organization that has the experts that investigate this
15 stuff to determine whether or not something happened said
16 it didn't happen. He could have posted that and nothing
17 else and said they investigated me, and it was unfounded,
18 and therefore, it's all been a lie. He didn't do any of
19 those things. Instead, he insulted her, posted
20 photographs of her. Her appearance has absolutely no
21 bearing on whether or not she tells the truth or whether
22 or not he is vindicated. It's so people know who she is,
23 in an attempt to bother her. He encouraged people to
24 share the post, so that it would be all over social
25 media, bothering her. He attempted to tag her in the

Finding by the Court

87

1 post, but he couldn't.

2 He posted in groups that he knows that she is
3 in, because he knows that she would see it. All of this
4 is a course of repeated conduct in order to cause her
5 alarm and bother and annoy her because he didn't like
6 something that she did. This is the textbook definition
7 of harassment. The State has proven their case, and we
8 believe the Court should return a verdict of guilty.

9 Thank you, Judge.

10 THE COURT: I'm just looking up a couple of
11 things here.

12 (Pause.)

13 THE COURT: All right. So, obviously, the
14 trial is concluded, and I am going to make my --
15 basically, we start with the summary of the testimony and
16 the evidence, make credibility findings, and then, of
17 course, render my verdict.

18 The case is very easy in one sense in that
19 there is not much disputed here. That's the easy part of
20 my job. The tough job is dealing with the harassment, in
21 general, and the very vague language of the harassment
22 statute and then to apply the facts and credibility
23 findings to that statute. That's the difficult part any
24 time there is a harassment case, but the facts are pretty
25 straightforward.